

COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION II
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON
Respondant

JOSEPH MICHAEL DONNETTE-SHERMAN
Appellant

MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Case No. 47602-9-II

1. Joseph M Donnette-Sherman (Appellant) asks for the relief designated in Part 2 below.
2. Relief sought: Pursuant to the Court's Unpublished Opinion dated October 25, 2016 Appellant seeks a continuance for additional time of 30 days to work on a Petition for Review pursuant to RAP Rule 13-4. In addition, due to Appellant's indigency, a waiver of Statutory Filing Fees. Further, since Appellant's Court Appointed Attorney – Thomas Doyle – has indicated he will not be working on my case anymore Appellants asks for phone interviews with the Case Manager to review the circumstances of this case.
3. Relevant facts: The Courts Unpublished Opinion was not delivered to Appellant until November 16, leaving insufficient time for his response. Appellant has been living on the street since being released from prison and needs more time to acquire writing materials, record legal papers, and internet access at the Public Library. While Appellant was incarcerated his house was foreclosed and purchased by his neighbor (Bruce Boyles [Plaintiff from Superior Court of Thurston County Case No. 13-1-01173-9]) at a highly discounted price, which he then turned and sold for a \$100,000.00 profit. All Appellant's belongings were lost to him in this process. Please bear in mind that the consequence of all this prosecution and sentencing is that Defendant is now shamed as a Felon, his professional license is revoked, and his home has been taken, including all his life savings. That is pretty severe for an incident that resulted in no injuries. Indeed, Appellant has never hurt anybody in his life, has always disavowed weapons, and has devoted his life to improving the plight of humanity. Also, the statements of the case were written by attorneys who did not communicate with Appellant and do not reflect reality, yet they are presented in the Case Record as though factual. They are not. Appellant is offended by the Attorney for the State of Washington who wrote: “The State accepts Donnette-Sherman's statement of the substantive and procedural facts of the case” (Brief of Respondent

Section B page 1 – undated).

4. Grounds for Relief and Argument: See RAP13-4. See also previous letters to the court by Appellant, his statement of the events at issue, and Statement of Additional Grounds (SAG). This case is a travesty of justice. Perpetrated by one man's (Bruce Boyles - Plaintiff) false testimony in conjunction with Jurisdictional errors of Jury Instruction law. Aside from Plaintiff's testimony, there is NO corroborating evidence. There is only assumptions and misdirected enforcement s. There is no mention of the so called three ways of committing assault in the Charging Documents. The ambiguities concerning the charges meant that Appellant was not able to prepare a defense due to head trauma suffered only weeks before trial. His Thurston County Office of Assigned Counsel Larry Jefferson refused to call Appellant's character witnesses and requested experts. Mr Jefferson also made false and misleading statements to the jury. There are significant problems with how the laws are being interpreted and executed in this case. There is no common sense to what has been done to Appellant. The public has a substantial interest in this case being reviewed by the Supreme Court of Washington.

Respectfully,

Joseph M Donnette-Sherman

(206) 637 9416

alacrity5900@gmail.com